## REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE, P.C. PATENTS, TRADEMARKS AND COPYRIGHTS

COLUMBIA CENTER 201 W. BIG BEAVER - STE 400 TROY, MICHIGAN 48084

NOTES/COMMENTS:

615 to enter 8,D 9/22/200 (248) 689-3500
FACSIMILE: (248) 689-4071
postmaster@reising.com

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 4390 TROY, MICHIGAN 48099

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET	
TO: Ms, Phuong K. Dinh	FROM: Matthew J. Schmidt
United States Patent and Trademark Office	
FAX NUMBER: 571-273-2090	DATE: September 22, 2005
PHONE NUMBER:	
<sup>RH:</sup> USSN 10/826,894	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
<ul> <li>✓ URGENT!</li> <li>☐ For Your Review</li> <li>☐ Original Will Follow by Mail</li> </ul>	☐ Please Confirm Receipt by: ☐ Telephone ☐ Return Facsimile

If you do not receive all of the pages, please call (248) 689-3500.

This facsimile may contain CONFIDENTIAL information that is intended only for the addressee. If you are not the addressee, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use, or copying of this facsimile or the confidential information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately at our expense by telephone at (248) 689-3500 and return the facsimile to us.

REISING, ETHINGTON Fax: 248-689-4071 Sep 22 2005 16:37 P. 02

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Kenneth J. Cotton et al

Serial No.:

10/826,894

Filed:

April 16, 2004

For:

Sealed Pass-Through Electrical Connector

Group Art Unit:

2839

Examining Attorney:

Phuong K Dinh

Docket No.:

620SC [2630.3176.001]

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Facsimile No. 571-273-2090.

Date: 9/22/05

Julia D. Small

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R 1.116

This response to the final Office Action dated June 22, 2005, and is submitted within three months of that date. In the Office Action, claims 22-29 were allowed, claims 8, 12-14, 17 and 18 were objected to but indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form, and claims 1-7, 9-11, 15, 16 and 19-21 were rejected.